

Calculus of Propositions \mathcal{P} - Theorems

Prof. Philip Pennance¹ -Version: 2004.

1. Axiom Schema for \mathcal{P} .

For any formulae φ, ψ, χ the following are axioms:

A1. $\rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \psi\varphi$

A2. $\rightarrow\rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \psi\chi \rightarrow\rightarrow \varphi\psi \rightarrow \varphi\chi$

A3. $\rightarrow\rightarrow\rightarrow \varphi \perp \perp \varphi$

The set of axioms for \mathcal{P} is denoted \mathcal{A} .

2. In the metalanguage, the axioms may be written:

(a) $\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$

(b) $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi))$
 $\rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi))$

(c) $((\varphi \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \perp) \rightarrow \varphi$
 (or equivalently $\neg\neg\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$.)

3. Remark. In the equivalent axiom system of Lukasiewicz (c) is replaced by

$$((\neg\varphi \rightarrow \neg\psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$$

4. The set T of *theorems* of \mathcal{P} is defined by:

(a) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq T$

(b) if $\varphi \in T$ and $\rightarrow \varphi\psi \in T$ then $\psi \in T$.

(c) T is minimal with respect to (a) and (b).

5. If $\varphi \in T$ we write $\vdash \varphi$.

6. A *proof* in \mathcal{P} is a finite sequence (φ_i) , $1 \leq i \leq n$ of formulae such that for each i either

(a) φ_i is an axiom.

(b) φ_i follows by modus ponens from two preceding terms.

7. Definition. A set S of theorems is *inductive in T* if

(a) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq S$.

(b) $\varphi \in S$ and $\rightarrow \varphi\psi \in S \Rightarrow \psi \in S$.

8. Claim.

Let $S \subseteq T$ is inductive in T then $S = T$.

Proof.

T is minimal containing the axioms and closed under modus ponens. Since $S \subseteq T$ it must be that $S = T$.

9. Claim. The result of a proof is a theorem. Specifically:

If $\langle \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \rangle$ is a proof then

$$\varphi_i \in T, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

Proof.

By induction on n . If $n = 0$ then $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ and so is a theorem. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider a proof

$$\langle \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n+1} \rangle.$$

By induction hypothesis $\varphi_i \in T$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. Since φ_{n+1} is either an axiom or obtained from previous formula by modus ponens, it must be that $\varphi_{n+1} \in T$.

10. Claim.

The following are equivalent:

(a) $\vdash \varphi$ (i.e., φ is a theorem).

(b) φ has a proof.

Proof.

(\Leftarrow). Follows from the previous claim.

\Rightarrow . Let

$$S = \{\varphi \in T : \varphi \text{ has a proof}\}$$

¹ <http://pennance.us>

Clearly $\mathcal{A} \subseteq S$. Suppose that $\varphi \in S$ and $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \in S$. Then there exist proofs:

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n = \varphi, \rangle$$

$$\langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n = \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \rangle$$

Then

$$\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \psi \rangle$$

is a proof of ψ i.e., $\psi \in S$. It follows that S is inductive in T and so $S = T$.

11. Definition.

A subset $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. is called a *theory*.

12. The set $\bar{\Gamma} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ of *theorems* of a theory Γ is defined by

T1. $\mathcal{A} \cup \Gamma \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}$

T2. $\phi \in \bar{\Gamma}$ and $\rightarrow \phi\psi \in \bar{\Gamma}$ imply $\psi \in \bar{\Gamma}$

T3. $\bar{\Gamma}$ is minimal with respect to (a) and (b).

Thus

$$\bar{\Gamma} = \bigcap \{A \subseteq \mathcal{F} : T1 \text{ and } T2.\}$$

13. We write $\Gamma \vdash \phi$ instead of $\phi \in \bar{\Gamma}$

14. Exercise.

Let $\phi \in \bar{\Gamma}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$ and $H \in \mathcal{F}$. Show that $S_H^\alpha \phi \in \bar{\Gamma}$

15. Definition. A set $S \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}$ is *inductive in* Γ if

(a) $\mathcal{A} \cup \Gamma \subseteq S$.

(b) $\varphi \in S$ and $\rightarrow \varphi\psi \in S \Rightarrow \psi \in S$.

16. Claim.

Let $S \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}$ is inductive in $\bar{\Gamma}$ then $S = \bar{\Gamma}$.

17. Example.

Define

(a) $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma \cup \mathcal{A}$

(b) $\Gamma_{n+1} = \Gamma_n \cup \{\rightarrow \varphi\psi : \varphi, \psi \in \Gamma_n\}$

Then

$$\bigcup \{\Gamma_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is inductive.

18. Deduction Theorem.

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{F}$, then the following are equivalent:

(a) $\Gamma \cup \alpha \vdash \beta$

(b) $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

(and so conditional proof can be dispensed with.)

Proof. (\Rightarrow):

Let

$$S = \{\beta \in \overline{\Gamma \cup \alpha} : \Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta\}$$

It is shown first that $S \supseteq \mathcal{A} \cup \Gamma \cup \alpha$. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{A} \cup \Gamma \cup \alpha$. If $\beta \in \mathcal{A} \cup \Gamma$. then

$$\langle \beta, \beta \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \rangle$$

is a proof of $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ in Γ . If $\beta = \alpha$, then $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ follows from $\vdash \beta \rightarrow \beta$.

It remains to show that S is closed under modus ponens. Suppose that $\mu, \mu \rightarrow \nu \in S$. Then

(i) $\alpha \rightarrow \mu$ $\mu \in S$

(ii) $\alpha \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow \nu$ $\mu \rightarrow \nu \in S$

(iii) $(\alpha \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow \nu) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \mu) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \nu))$ A2.

(iv) $(\alpha \rightarrow \mu) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \nu)$ mp (ii),(iii).

(v) $\alpha \rightarrow \nu$ mp (i),(iv).

and so $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \nu$. i.e., $\nu \in S$.

Proof. (\Leftarrow):

If $\Gamma \vdash \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ then $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \beta, \beta \rangle$ is a proof of β in $\Gamma \cup \alpha$.